

DEED OF SOLE ADJUDICATION

Notice is hereby given that the estates of the late **DR. ERLINDA ESTRADA MERIS**, who died intestate on May 29, 2026 at Capitol Medical Center Inc., Quezon Avenue Cor. SCT. Magbanua St., Paligsahan, Quezon City, Metro Manila, Philippines left properties and assets including the following: **I. Real Properties: Transfer Certificate of Title No. 64397, Transfer Certificate of Title No. 161377, Transfer Certificate of Title No. 161375, Transfer Certificate of Title No. 154289, Transfer Certificate of Title No. 157083; II. Bank Accounts in the Philippines: BPI Foreign Currency Account No. 0014-2833-31, BPI Foreign Currency Account No. 2718-72, BPI Peso Savings Account No. 2023-50575-47 all in Makati, Metro Manila; III. Assets/Accounts in the United States of America: State Employees' Retirement System (SERS), 2101 South Veterans Parkway, P.O. Box 19255, Springfield Illinois 62794-9255, USA, SERS Claims Division; Group Life Insurance of the State of Illinois/ MetLife, P.O. Box 14406, Lexington, Kentucky 40512-4400, USA MetLife P.O. Box 6100, Scranton, Pennsylvania 18505-6100, USA; First American Bank-Bourbonnais Branch, 288 Main St. NW, Bourbonnais, Illinois 60914, USA; Social Security Administration, Social Security No.2483, P.O. Box 17769, Office of Central Operations, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; Trust Agreement; Erlinda E. Meris, M.D. Revocable Living Trust, maintained/ referred to in connection with: Citibank, Garland Park Branch, Garland Park, Illinois, USA; and First American Bank, Account No. 52864301, P.O. Box 0794, Elk Grove Village, Illinois 60009-0794, USA. Which have been adjudicated in favor of **CESAR ESTRADA MERIS** as per instrument dated on September 16, 2026 under Doc. No.359; Page No. 73; Book No. XXV; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Anna Mari E. Abarcar.**

PB+Pub.Dates: October 6, 13 and 20, 2026.

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2026-02163
Application for a Certificate of a Public
Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire
Service.
ORLANDO RABI
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within TERESA RIZAL to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.
NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Chief, Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2023-4544
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS).
ANDRIAN MIOLE AQUINO
Applicant/s.

AFFIDAVIT OF SELF ADJUDICATION WITH SALE

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the deceased **MARRY O. BAPTISTA** who died on April 15, 2026. Left a property described as follows: **TCT No. 004-2018008242**. Which has been adjudicated by and among her heirs with sale in favor of **ARSENIA B. LEONA** as per instrument dated on August 13, 2026 under Doc. No. 201; Page No. 42; Book No. XVII; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Bryan G. Pekas for Quezon City.

PB+Pub.Dates: October 6, 13 and 20, 2026.

EXTRA-JUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE AMONG HEIRS WITH DEED OF ABSOLUTE SALE

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **FRANCISCO VALDECANTOS** who died on November 27, 1996 at San Rafael, Bulacan, left the following parcel of land with improvements thereon: **TCT No. CLOA-T-1244 containing an area of 2,016 Square Meters**. Which has been extra-judicially settled by and among his heirs with absolute sale for and in consideration of the sum of **P200,000.00** in favor of the **VENDEES** as per instrument dated on August 7, 2026 under Doc. No. 242; Page No. 50; Book No. 18; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Victor Camaing Longos.

PB+Pub.Dates: Sept. 22, 29 & Oct. 6, 2026.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the route within **METRO MANILA** which includes **MUCEP AREA** with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to MAY 13, 2026. In the application filed on JANUARY 19, 2026, applicant requested authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 28, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. TNVS-2023-0614
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS).
RONNIE A. REYES,
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the route within **METRO MANILA** which includes **MUCEP AREA** with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to APRIL 15, 2026. In the application filed on JANUARY 16, 2026, applicant requested authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing,

EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE OF THE DECEASED VICENTE SUMAWAY AND ADELA DE GUZMAN SUMAWAY

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the deceased **VICENTE SUMAWAY**, who died on January 20, 1997 and **ADELA DE GUZMAN SUMAWAY**, who died on June 20, 1998 both in San Rafael, Bulacan, left the following described Parcel of Land covered by **TCT No. RT-25584 (T-112692)**. Which has been extrajudicially settled by and among their heirs as per instrument dated on August 7, 2026 under Doc. No. 243; Page No. 50; Book No. 18; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Victor Camaing Longos.

PB+Pub.Dates: Sept. 22, 29 & Oct. 6, 2026.

EXTRA-JUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE WITH DEED OF ADJUDICATION AND WAIVER OF HEREDITARY RIGHTS

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **EDGAR BALBON BAG-AO**, who died intestate on 21 April 2023, leaving no Last Will and Testament left the following properties and rights: **Make: MIT'S. ADVENTURE WAGON; Motor No.: 4D56AAQ0996; Chassis No. PAEVB5NMHHB007699; Plate No.: NBV1591; Model: 2017** together with the corresponding **LTRFB TNVS Authority under Case No. TNVS-18-1279**. Which has been extra-judicially settled by and among his heirs with Waiver of Hereditary Rights and adjudicated exclusively to **SALLY ZULUETA BAG-AO** as per Doc No. 104; Page No. 21; Book No. IV; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Karen Gina T. Bupra.

PB+Pub.Dates: Sept. 22, 29 & Oct. 6, 2026.

the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 28, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-23294
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS).
NESTOR RESPCICIO BACANI
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the route within **METRO MANILA** which includes **MUCEP AREA** with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to DECEMBER 29, 2025. In the application filed on DECEMBER 16, 2025, applicant requested authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions

AFFIDAVIT OF LOSS

Notice is hereby given that the lost stock certificates of **MANILA ELECTRIC COMPANY: Stockholder Name: Ma. Lourdes V. Hernandez; Stock Certificate No. 570863; Number of Shares: 23 and Stock Certificate No. 496371; Number of Shares: 39** that the aforementioned stock certificates were discovered to be missing and despite diligent efforts having been exerted the same could not be located. As per instrument dated on September 28, 2026 under Doc. No. 495; Page No. 49; Book No. VI; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. Urvi B. Pascual.

PB+Pub.Dates: Sept. 29, Oct. 6 and 13, 2026.

EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF BANK DEPOSIT OF DECEASED PERSON

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **BOY B. STA. ANA** also known as **IROG B. STA. ANA** who passed away intestate (without a will) on April 23, 2026 at The Medical City-Ortigas Ave., Pasig City left personal properties consisting of bank deposits, more particularly described as follows: **Bank Name: BPI; Branch: C. Raymundo; Account Type: Savings; Account Number: 2609-0969-29; Current Balance: Php 1,267,889.91**. Which has been extrajudicially settled by and among his heirs and do hereby bank deposit shall be completely distributed and allocated to **GRACE S. GATDULA** as per instrument dated on September 25, 2026 under Doc. No. 249; Page No. 51; Book No. II; Series of 2026. Before Notary Public Atty. William V. Cabrera.

PB+Pub.Dates: Sept. 29, Oct. 6 and 13, 2026.

supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.
This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.
WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 28, 2026.
ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2023-0809
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS).
GLEN D. TICAR
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Transportation Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the route within **METRO MANILA** which includes **MUCEP AREA** with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to APRIL 1, 2026. In the application filed on JANUARY 19, 2026, applicant requested authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 28, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2026-02039
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
MARK MURPHY ALZATE AQUINO
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within **BULACAN** to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2025-50396
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
ER LUCKY DRGON DISTRIBUTION INC.
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within **TAYTAY, RIZAL** to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 23, 2026.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Chief, Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2025-50613
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
KEIFEL MALDA MARTINEZ
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within **PASIG CITY** to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal

ANG mga error at omisyon sa Classified Ads ng PEOPLE'S BALITA ay dapat ipabatid sa amin sa mismong araw na nalathala ang anunsyo. Hindi pananagutan ng PEOPLE'S BALITA ang hihigit sa isang maling insertion ng anumang partikular na ad na hindi agad ipinagbigay-alam sa amin.

offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Chief, Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
Regulatory Office No. III
San Fernando, Pampanga
Case No. 2025-00923

Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck service.

RONALYN P. PERALTA
Laput, Mexico, Pampanga
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
In the Application filed on NOVEMBER 19, 2025, Applicant requests authority for issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TH FREIGHT TRUCK service within the Municipality of MEXICO, PAMPANGA and from said place to any point in the island of PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. at its office at the above address.

Applicant is hereby ordered to publish this Notice at least TEN (10) days before the above date of hearing once in a newspaper of general circulation in PHILIPPINES.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **P/GEN. RICHARD A. ALBANO (RET)**, Regional Director, this 29th day of NOVEMBER 2025 in the City of San Fernando, Pampanga.

JUDE L. LAXA
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2026-50244

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.

SOUTH SEAS CARGO FORWARDERS
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING
Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within **NAVOTAS CITY** to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of TEN (10) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable **ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II**, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
Third Judicial Region
BRANCH 45
City of San Fernando, Pampanga
[Email: rct1sfp045@judiciary.gov.ph
Contact No. (045) 404-6749]

MARVIS CHRISTIAN P. UMALI,
Petitioner,
-versus-
FC SP. PROC. CASE NO. 814

ANGELICA SICAT PAMPOSA-
UMALI,
Respondent.

X-----X
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION

WHEREAS, on June 4, 2026, the Hon. Robert Alexander R. Malig, Presiding Judge of this Court, issued an Order in the above-entitled case, stating that summons be issued, the same to be served by publication once a week for two (2) consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in the Philippines.
The Petition filed on March 30, 2026 is hereunder quoted, to wit:

"PETITIONER, by the undersigned counsel, and unto the Honorable Court, respectfully alleges that:

1. Petitioner is of legal age, married, Filipino citizen and a resident of No. 125 Dela Paz Sur, City of San Fernando Pampanga. Copies of petitioner's driver's license, voter's and UMID cards, Barangay Certificate of Residency, and latest SFELAPCO electric billing invoices, bearing the said address are herein attached as ANNEX "A" series; while respondent is likewise of legal age, Filipino citizen, married, and a resident of Phase II Blk 1 Lot 9-D Sta. Lucia Resettlement Magalang, Pampanga, where she may be served with summons and other court processes;

2. Petitioner and respondent were united in marriage on June 29, 2013 at the Office of the Mayor, the City of San Fernando, Pampanga, as evidenced by their Certificate of Marriage, marked as ANNEX "B";

3. Out of their marriage, born unto petitioner and respondent is WYNTER MAVI P. UMALI who is now twelve (12) years old. Copy of the Certificate of Live Birth is herein attached as ANNEX "C";

4. Petitioner met respondent at a company he worked for right after he graduated from college. They eventually became a couple. In the beginning, their relationship flourished, and despite some misunderstandings, they managed to work through them.

5. During their first year as a couple, respondent frequently brought up a girl petitioner was close to due to their work connection. She expressed jealousy and displayed a man-hater attitude. As time went on, petitioner noticed that respondent had many insecurities about him, even though he hadn't done anything wrong. In an effort to resolve the situation, petitioner decided to resign and switch to a different company so as to avoid issues with respondent;

6. Since petitioner and respondent no longer worked together, he often visited her at her home in Sta. Lucia Resettlement, Magalang. There, he got to know her and her family better.

He noticed respondent's authoritarian and explosive behaviour, often wanting to make decisions for the household, which led to frequent arguments with her late father. She even shared that once, out of anger, her father nearly stabbed her with a knife. Her mother was unable to stop her. Respondent would often yell at and treat her mother and sibling like children. While she justified it as being out of love and concern, petitioner did not agree with it but still chose to understand her actions;

7. After two and a half years, the couple decided to break up due to frequent misunderstandings and differing life plans- Respondent wanted to work abroad, while Petitioner didn't want a long-distance relationship.

They were separated for three months, during which he occasionally received text messages from her. Eventually, respondent visited petitioner to reconcile, and feeling her sincerity, he decided to give their relationship another chance despite their past issues;

8. After a few months of being back together, respondent told petitioner about her pregnancy. She shared the news with a mix of happiness and doubt, wondering if she was ready to start a family. Upon hearing this, petitioner felt immense joy since he had long wanted to have a child. They then decided live at petitioner's parents' house to plan and organize their upcoming wedding. At that time, petitioner was working as a home-based developer for a BPO company;

9. The couple was married on June 29, 2013. However, the night before the wedding, respondent expressed doubts and asked petitioner to cancel it, saying she did not want to marry him. She was upset because his family had organized the wedding. Despite the hurtful things she said, petitioner chose to understand her and went ahead with the wedding. After marriage, they continued living in his parents' house until respondent gave birth;

10. After the wedding, they lived with petitioner's parents, which started to cause tension in their relationship. Respondent wasn't happy that part of petitioner's salary went to his mother who then shouldered their daily needs. She became more frustrated and angry when petitioner's pay was not enough to meet her expectations;

11. As time went by, respondent grew distant from petitioner's family which caused more

disagreements between the couple. She demanded to move back to her parents' house to which petitioner refused since the location was far his work. Thereafter, she kept telling him she regretted marrying him, blaming him for ruining her life;

12. When their daughter, Wynter, was born on November 29, 2013, her arrival brought joy to petitioner's family. However, respondent became even more upset when petitioner's thor mother helped out in caring for the baby. Respondent, a perfectionist, insisted on controlling and taking charge of everything --- refusing any form of help even if needed --- thus, causing conflict and tension between the couple as well as petitioner's family. She eventually left and moved back to her parents' home with their daughter causing the couple's temporary separation;

13. In 2015, the couple reconciled and moved back together, but soon started arguing again. Respondent resented petitioner's family, especially when he wanted to visit them. She insulted him, calling him a "mama's boy". She eventually kicked him out of the house, leading to another separation;

14. From then on, respondent spread malicious stories about petitioner to her family and friends, making him feel embarrassed and small. She threatened to prevent him from seeing their daughter, and often verbally abused him in front of the child. Despite the pain, petitioner stayed calm, choosing not to retaliate physically, as he feared respondent would use any excuse to keep him away from their daughter;

15. Despite the painful challenges, petitioner's love for his daughter remained unwavering --- a situation which Angelica exploited. She frequently threatened to prevent him from seeing their daughter if he didn't comply with her demands. Whenever he picked up their child, respondent would curse and hurl hurtful words at him. She even told him that she would make sure their daughter hated him and saw him as a bad father. During their fights, she would let their daughter hear the insults, despite him trying to shield the child. This caused petitioner a great deal of pain, but he chose to ignore respondent's behavior to avoid further conflict;

16. During these verbal attacks, petitioner remained calm and never retaliated physically, believing respondent was trying to provoke him into making a mistake. He feared she was waiting for an opportunity to make him disappear from their daughter's life. Despite the ongoing verbal abuse, he continued to provide for his daughter's needs. However, respondent remained resentful, especially when petitioner was late in providing money. Even when he was one day late due to illness, she angrily blamed him and shared his perceived shortcomings with others;

17. Once, petitioner with the help of his brothers made an effort to buy an air conditioner for his daughter. When they delivered it to respondent's house without consulting her first, she reacted with anger, insulting petitioner and his brothers. They left quickly to avoid further conflict, but later that night, respondent screamed outside petitioner's home, complaining about the air conditioner and how she had hired a tricycle driver to return it. This public outburst shocked his family and made him a subject of rumor in the neighbourhood;

18. Petitioner continued to visit and "borrow" his daughter on his days off, as agreed with Angelica, though she would often allow it with offensive remarks and tried to convince him not to take the child. This continued until November 2015 when Angelica refused to let him pick up their daughter, even without a valid reason. When Marvis insisted, Angelica locked the gate and hurled hurtful words at him. This led Marvis to seek help from his parents, who contacted the authorities, and the situation was brought to the barangay hall, where they signed an agreement outlining Marvis' rights to visit his daughter;

19. Despite the agreement, respondent continued to complain and limited petitioner's visits, even though he was entitled to see his daughter every second week. She became strict about pick-up and drop-off times, often scolding him if he was early, late, or went overtime. At times, she would make excuses and inform him of changes only when he was already on his way. When petitioner informed her he would be late due to feeding their daughter, she still got upset and insisted on the specific time;

20. As their daughter grew older, she became aware of the situation and could express whether she wanted to be picked up by her father. Petitioner was always willing to wait to avoid disappointing her. On special occasions, like his birthday and Father's Day, respondent often prevented their daughter from celebrating with her father. Petitioner would try to understand and find ways to spend time with his daughter on those days. On the other hand, when these occasions coincided with respondent's special days, such as her birthday or Mother's Day, he would either skip the visit or drop their daughter off early, understanding the importance of her being with her mother on those occasions;

21. On other important occasions, such as their daughter's birthday, Christmas, and New Year's day, petitioner would participate in their celebration at respondent's house even though there were times when he was not invited. Thus, she would say hurtful words or force him out. Although it was painful and embarrassing for him, he tried to endure it because he wanted to be with his daughter and make her enjoy a complete family during these celebrations. Hence, it was also difficult for his family to get involved during special occasions for his daughter;

22. One of these occasions was when they celebrated petitioner's birthday at respondent's home, where he prepared food to share for lunch. As what usually happened on every occasion, Angelica prioritized taking pictures of them to post on Facebook. And because she was a per-

fectionist, she did not stop until having perfect pictures. Thus, she would get angry and yelled at petitioner and their daughter whenever there were flaws in the photos. She often wanted them to pose "*Yung masaya!*". Even though their child was crying and saying she was hungry, Respondent still did not stop and tried to make the child smile. She demanded for them to take pictures even they were four hours late for lunch. No matter how much pity petitioner felt for his daughter, he encouraged her to obey her mother so the situation would not worsen;

23. Petitioner would often feel uneasy when he was with respondent because of her toxic behavior. No matter how fun the day was or how careful and apprehensive he was, she would still have a sudden change in mood. She would suddenly burst in anger and even shout at them in the midst of joyful moments. Thus, every time he was with her, he described the feeling as if "he is in a war zone full of land mines where a single wrong move could trigger an explosion and end everything in an instant";

24. Despite this, petitioner still wanted to be with his family and granted respondent's frequent requests for them to live separately from their families. Hence, he decided to get a small rent-to-own house in a new subdivision in Angeles City. When it was about to be turned over, he showed it to his wife to encourage her to live there. However, she refused to live in that house because according to her, the place was small, cramped, and transportation was difficult. He felt disappointed and cancelled the contract even though he could not refund the money he spent on buying the house.

Petitioner and respondent tried several times to get a house according to her wishes, but it did not work out because of misunderstandings. Whenever they had arguments even over the most trivial things, she would always say that they should not continue buying a house or else he would end up living there alone;

25. Petitioner still wanted to save their marriage and be with his daughter. Thus, sometime in December 2016, he agreed to make arrangements with respondent and moved back into her house. However, his efforts proved futile as their fights grew more frequent;

26. At the beginning of 2017, respondent who was pregnant at the time kicked petitioner out of the house again. She was angry because petitioner mentioned to her that his brother accidentally read her Facebook messages from an old cellphone. He was surprised why it made her that mad;

27. Thereafter, petitioner learned that apart from the messages (bad-mouthing him and his family) read by his brother, there were also screenshots of messages sent to his family about respondent having an affair with another man. It was only then that he realized why his family were having doubts about her pregnancy since they only had been together again for almost two months. When he visited them, she told him that she had a miscarriage while holding a small fetus that was beginning to develop. He wondered why she was still holding the fetus and did not ask for assistance when she went to the hospital;

28. During their separation, respondent continued to hurt petitioner through text and Facebook messages. She also continued discrediting him to his relatives, friends, and co-workers. He became depressed and this caused him to distance himself from people close to him, even they are friends and relatives. He stopped using social media because every time he posts, she would comment and criticize him. Thus, he made himself busy at work and on other days, he opted to be alone and just stayed at home;

29. After three years of separation, petitioner tried again to give his relationship with respondent another chance since he is longing for his daughter and a complete family. It was 2020 and since it was pandemic, he knew his daughter needed him more than ever. Even though he was belittled by respondent in his efforts to make amends to her, he had to endure everything for his daughter;

30. Sometime in October 2020, their daughter accidentally broke a jar. When respondent saw it, she got angry and yelled at the little girl while throwing the candies at their daughter. Petitioner tried to stop her but respondent continued. Since he could not take it anymore, he threw the candies back at her. She became more furious and kicked him out of the house in front of their crying daughter. At first, he refused to leave because it was pandemic and was raining outside due to a typhoon. However, respondent took all of petitioner's things, placed them inside a sack and plastic bag, bought them outside and tied them to his motorcycle;

31. Respondent also threatened petitioner that she would make a scene if he will not leave. He has no other option but to leave the house to avoid commotion even it was it the middle of the night. This was the time that he finally came to a realization of hopelessness and decided to end his marriage with her. However, he continued to visit and support their daughter;

32. Petitioner firmly believes that the marriage is beyond repair and has sought the intervention of an expert to assess both his and his wife's psychological fitness to perform their respective marital obligations;

33. From the psychological assessment conducted, it was concluded by Clinical Psychologists/Marriage and Family Therapist Amelia Z. Macapagal, RGC, Rpm, and Teresita O. Cruz, RPsy, RGC, that RESPONDENT is psychologically incapacitated to perform their marital obligations--- she is found with psychological incapacity assessed to be deep-seated, permanent, and serious.

34. According to the report, she is shown to be with manifesting behaviours and symptoms congruent with OVERLAPPING OBSESSIVE COMPULSIVE PERSONALITY DISORDER. The

symptoms consisted of the following: having a sense of entitlement, a need for perfection in accomplishing tasks; a need to be in control of people, tasks, and situations; a rigid and stubborn attitude; an inability to assign tasks to others; preoccupation with schedules, details, rules, and lists; difficulty in coping with criticism; over-focusing in flaws on other people; and inflexibility or perceiving everything as "black and white". Due to her condition, she has been psychologically incapacitated to comply with her marital role within normal expectations.

This is evidenced by her Psychological Report a copy of which is attached herewith and marked as ANNEX "D";

35. Based also on the report, the psychological illnesses exhibited by respondent were already present even before the celebration of the marriage, thus, evidencing precedence;

36. Respondent's disorder is traceable to her family background. She has predisposition to the disorder, having been raised by lenient parents which reinforced her feelings of superiority. There is an early onset of the symptoms, as she would also be rude and offensive to her family of origin, including her father. It caused inner conflict in her personality development which featured into a disorder during her adulthood. Clearly, this condition antedated the marriage and existed at the time of its celebration though its overt manifestations became more pronounced only during the marriage;

37. Respondent's affliction is serious and grave bringing the marriage into a breakdown. She was the "difficult" person who will cause people around her a hard time in dealing with. And she was not spared. Her perfectionism and inflexibility have caused her to be showing moods and reactions, incommensurate to how the husband was dealing with her. This would cause, as it did cause, chaos and distress in the marital relationship. She had inappropriate expression of anger, where she would embarrass the husband in front of other people, cursed him, belittled him, throw things at him, and she would do it in front of their daughter as well. She was totally incognizant of the husband's needs and feelings;

38. Further, the report reveals that respondent's disorder became deeply ingrained in her personality make-up, which is permanent and incurable. The symptoms were present before and during the marriage, and are expected to persist. There are no psychotropic medications or psychotherapy which could effectively remedy her condition. It is expected to persist and endure. The clinical traits are a stable part of her personality which could not be made susceptible to treatment. In a multi-axial diagnostic classification of disorders, her disorder belongs to Axis II which includes incurable conditions just like inborn cognitive deficits or mental retardation. She is bound to manifest the traits in a persistent pattern. By the nature of her disorder, there is no known psychotherapy which could remedy them. Thus, despite petitioner's efforts to save the relationship, the outcome remained the same;

39. The identified personality disorders of respondent were the main reasons why they were unable to:

1. Live together under one roof;
2. Observe mutual love, respect, and fidelity;
3. Render mutual help and support;
4. Jointly support the family;
5. Not to commit acts that will bring danger, dishonor, or injury to each other or to the family.
40. The parties, during their marriage, did not acquire any properties in their name;
41. In support of the instant petition, attached herein are the Judicial Affidavits (with markings and attachments) of Petitioner, his witness-father, and psychologist who will all testify on the existence of psychological incapacity which resulted to the breakdown of the marriage.

PRAYER
WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is respectfully prayed of the Honorable Court that after due notice and hearing, judgment be rendered declaring the marriage between petitioner and respondent NULL AND VOID.

All other just and equitable reliefs are likewise prayed for.

19 March 2026, City of San Fernando, Pampanga.

JTD Law Office
for the Petitioner
Rm. 200A Giga Plaza Bldg.
Consunji St., Sto. Rosario
City of San Fernando, Pampanga 2000
(045)4072743

(signed)
ATTY. JENNIFER C. TALENS
E-mail address: jtalenlaw@yahoo.com.ph
Contact No. 0949-341-0267
IBP Lifetime No. 018998/ Feb. 22, 2018
PTR No. 0748083/ January 08, 2026
Roll No. 54607
Notarial Commission No. 38-25
MCLE Comp. VIII-0015412 11/06/24"

NOW THEREFORE, respondent ANGELICA SICAT PAMPOSA-UMALI is hereby summoned and required to file with the Regional Trial Court, Branch 45, City of San Fernando, Pampanga, her verified answer to the petition within sixty (60) days from the date of the last publication of this summons, serving a copy thereof upon the petitioner, thru his counsel, JTD Law Office, at the above-stated address.

ATTY. MICHELLE ANN L. MORELOS-SANTOS
Branch Clerk of Court

PB+Pub.Dates: October 6 and 13, 2026.

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2020-4437
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire (TH) Service.
ISABELITA R. RATIO
Applicant/s.

X-----X
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service within MAJAYJAY, LAGUNA to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to MARCH 24, 2026. In the application filed on DECEMBER 16, 2025, applicant request authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing. .

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the aboveapplicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of same number of unit/s, date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II, Chairperson, this JANUARY 28, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2026-01984
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
FROILAN M. PANGANIBAN
Applicant/s.

X-----X
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within PARANAQUE CITY to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-26234
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire (TH) Service.
VICTORIA C. WEDINGCO
Applicant/s.

X-----X
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service within CAVITE to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of TWO (2) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to JANUARY 22, 2026. In the application filed on JANUARY 19, 2026, applicant request authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. through its online

platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing. .

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the aboveapplicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of same number of unit/s, date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II, Chairperson, this JANUARY 28, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2026-02061
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
ANTONIETA N. TOLENTINO
Applicant/s.

X-----X
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within DAVAO DEL SUR to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II, Chairman this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2025-50414
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a Truck-for-Hire Service.
JAMILINES LOGISTICS OPC
Applicant/s.

X-----X
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant filed an application requesting for authority to operate a Truck-for-hire (TH) Service on the route within LAGUNA to any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on OCTOBER 20, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. through its online platform. In the conduct of the online hearing, the applicable provisions of Memorandum Circular No. 2020-069 shall apply. The link of the online platform shall be sent to the applicant's provided email address prior to the scheduled hearing. Further, the formal offer of evidence (FOE) shall be submitted at the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board Central Office East Avenue Diliman, Quezon City at least five (5) days before the scheduled hearing.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. VIGOR D. MENDOZA II, Chairperson this JANUARY 26, 2026.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Chief, Legal Division

< Jam's Jewelry Online Shop  

WE ACCEPT ACTI
Reseller Interest
SEND US A DIRECT MESSAGE
ownpayment

Jam's Jewelry Online Shop

183 likes • 419 followers

for we trust we have an honest conscience, as we wish to conduct ourselves honestly in all things

[WhatsApp](#) [Message](#)

[Like](#) [...](#)

[Posts](#) [About](#) [Videos](#) [Photos](#) [More ▼](#)

Details


 **Page** • Public figure

 0929 762 5868

 jams.js18@gmail.com

 Not yet rated (0 Reviews)

... See Jam's Jewelry Online Shop's About Info



Casa Cuatro Homestay

ATTY. PAUL VINCENT V. AUSTRIA
OIC, Legal Division
(Pursuant to Office Order No. 2026-027)

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Chief, Legal Division